

CHILDREN IN FAMILY LAW

COURSE MATERIALS

Volume II

Philip Epstein and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1999-2000

Prepared with the assistance of Brendan Van Niejenhuis

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

ROSA LIEBOWITZ LIBRARY

JAN 28 2000

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CHILDREN IN FAMILY LAW

COURSE MATERIALS


Volume II

Philip Epstein and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1999-2000

Prepared with the assistance of Brendan Van Niejenhuis

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

CHILDREN IN FAMILY LAW

VOL. II
1999—2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

V. ACCESS

A. INTRODUCTION

N. Marcil-Gratton and C. Le Bourdais, <i>Custody, Access and Child Support: Findings from the National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth</i> , Child Support Team, Department of Justice Canada.....	343
Carol Smart and Bren Neale, “Arguments Against Virtue – Must Contact Be Enforced?” (optional reading).....	348
Department of Justice, <i>Custody and Access: Public Discussion Paper</i>	353

B. THE LEGAL CONTENT OF ACCESS: THE RIGHTS OF THE ACCESS PARENT

Berend Hovius, “The Changing Role of the Access Parent”.....	356
<i>Young v. Young</i>	359
Note: <i>P.(D.) v. S.(C.)</i>	377
Berend Hovius, “The Changing Role of the Access Parent” (“The Choice of Schools”).....	379

C. STRUCTURING ACCESS ARRANGEMENTS IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF CHILDREN

1. STRUCTURING AGE-APPROPRIATE ACCESS

Canadian Judicial Council Seminar, “Visitation Guidelines”.....	384
---	-----

2. RESTRICTIONS ON ACCESS

Laurie McMurchie, “Restriction and Termination of Access”.....	390
--	-----

3. TERMINATION OR DENIAL OF ACCESS BY COURTS

<i>H. v. J.</i>	392
<i>M. (B.P.) v. M. (B.L.D.E.)</i>	393
<i>F.(W.) v. R.(G.)</i>	405
<i>Johnson-Steeves v. Lee</i>	408
<i>Hildinger v. Carroll</i>	416
<i>Low v. Low</i>	419

4. SUPERVISED ACCESS

Ministry of the Attorney General, News Release	427
Judy Newman, “Supervised Access Programmes Revisited: Focus on Children”	433
<i>W. (K.M.) v. W. (D.D.)</i>	435
<i>D. (D.L.) v. W. (R.J.)</i>	440
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , 1998, “Supervised Parenting Programs”	442

D. PARENTAL ALIENATION

<i>Thatcher v. Thatcher</i>	444
G. Kerr and P. Jaffe, “Clinical and Legal Pitfalls in Adopting the Parental Alienation Syndrome in Child Custody Disputes”	451
Rhonda Freeman, “Parenting After Divorce: Using Research to Inform Decision-Making About Children”	456
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , “Parental Estrangement and Parental Alienation”	460
<i>Perrier v. Perrier</i>	463
Janet Johnston and Vivienne Roseby, <i>In the Name of the Child</i> , Chap. 8, “Parental Alignments and Alienation Among Children of High Conflict Divorce” (optional reading)	482

E. INTERFERENCE WITH ACCESS: ACCESS ENFORCEMENT

<i>McMillan v. McMillan</i>	496
<i>Tremblay v. Tremblay</i>	503
<i>Frame v. Smith</i>	504
M. Bailey, “Enforcement of Access Orders”	507
Unproclaimed 1989 Amendments to the <i>Children’s Law Reform Act</i>	523
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , “Access Enforcement”	525
Nicholas Bala, “A Report from Canada’s ‘Gender War Zone’: Reforming the Child-Related Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> ”	532
Government of Canada’s Response to the Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access, <i>Strategy for Reform</i> , May 1999, “Enforcement”	534

F. GRANDPARENT AND OTHER THIRD PARTY ACCESS

<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody And Access</i> , “Widening the Circle: Involving Others with the Children of Divorce”	536
Donna Laframboise, “The Lost Grandchildren”, <i>National Post</i>	539
Nicholas Bala, “A Report from Canada’s ‘Gender War Zone’: Reforming the Child-Related	

Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i>	541
Government of Canada's Response to the Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access, <i>Strategy for Reform</i> , May, 1999, "Recognizing the Importance of Grandparents".....	542
"Grandparents' court-ordered access a hot issue", <i>Toronto Star</i> , July 24, 1999	543
Tami Moscoe, "Courts Grapple with Extended Family Access", <i>The Lawyer's Weekly</i> , Oct. 29, 1999.....	544
<i>Provincial Court Act</i> (Alberta)	546
<i>Bridgewater v. Lee</i>	548
<i>Jayaratham v. Devarajan</i>	549
<i>Stewart v. MacDonnell</i>	555
<i>G.D. v. G.M.</i>	558
"Defining Who Can See the Children", <i>New York Times</i> , Oct. 3, 1999.....	572
"U.S. Supreme Court Considers Rights of Grandparents", <i>National Post</i> , Jan. 13, 2000.....	573
Cartoon, <i>New York Times</i> , Jan. 9, 2000	574
 VI. VIOLENCE AND SEXUAL ABUSE	
 A. SPOUSAL ABUSE AND CUSTODY AND ACCESS DETERMINATIONS	
"Slain Mother of Two Denied Police Escort During Child Exchange", <i>National Post</i> , Dec. 7, 1999	575
G. Taylor, J. Barnsley and P. Goldsmith, <i>Women and Children Last: Custody Disputes and the Family "Justice" System</i>	576
S.G. Kerr and P.G. Jaffe, "Legal and Clinical Issues in Child Custody Disputes Involving Domestic Violence"	579
Nicholas Bala <i>et al.</i> , <i>Spousal Violence in Custody and Access Disputes: Recommendations for Reform</i>	582
S.G. Kerr and P.G. Jaffe, "Legal and Clinical Issues in Child Custody Disputes Involving Domestic Violence"	605
David Greatbatch and Robert Dingwall, "The Marginalization of Domestic Violence In Divorce Mediation" (optional reading).....	608
<i>LiSanti v. LiSanti</i>	617
<i>Savidant v. MacLeod</i>	620
<i>Fullarton v. Fullarton</i>	626
<i>Costa v. Costa</i>	629
<i>Alexander v. Creary</i>	632
<i>Haider v. Malach</i>	637
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Custody and Access</i> , Chapter 5(D), "Domestic Violence"	656

Government of Canada's Response to the Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access, <i>Strategy for Reform</i> , May 1999, "Violence"	661
Nicholas Bala, "A Report from Canada's 'Gender War Zone': Reforming the Child-Related Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i> "	663
Janet R. Johnston and Vivienne Roseby, <i>In the Name of the Child</i> , Chap. 2, "Domestic Violence and Parent-Child Relationships in Families Disputing Custody" (optional)...	665
Janet R. Johnston, "High-Conflict and Violent Parents in Family Court: Findings on Children's Adjustment, And Proposed Guidelines for the Resolution of Custody and Visitation Disputes" (optional reading)	676

B. SEXUAL ABUSE OF CHILDREN AND CHILD CUSTODY DETERMINATIONS

"New Boundaries for Custody Battles", <i>National Post</i> , May, 1998	688
"Flawed Claims of Child Abuse Rampant: Study", <i>National Post</i> , May 27, 1999.....	689
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , "False Allegations of Abuse and Neglect"	690
Nicholas Bala, "A Report from Canada's 'Gender War Zone': Reforming the Child-Related Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act</i>	695
Government of Canada's Response to the Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access, <i>Strategy for Reform</i> , "False Allegations"	697
Lise Zarb, "Allegations of Childhood Sexual Abuse in Custody and Access Disputes: What Care is in the Best Interests of the Child?"	699
<i>C. (R.M.) v. C. (J.R.)</i>	712
<i>W. (K.M.) v. W. (D.D.)</i>	723
<i>M. (S.A.J.) v. M. (D.D.)</i>	728
<i>B. (B.J.A.) v. R. (K.J.)</i>	734
Jade McGleughlin, Susanne Meyer and John Baker, "Assessing Sexual Abuse Allegations in Divorce, Custody and Visitation Disputes" (optional reading)	737

VII. MOBILITY

P. Epstein, "Custody and Access", Chap. 4 in Law Society of Upper Canada, Family Law, Bar Admission Course Materials, 1996	754
<i>Carter v. Brooks</i>	755
P. Epstein, "Custody and Access", Chap. 4 in Law Society of Upper Canada, Family Law, Bar Admission Course Materials, 1996	763
<i>MacGyver v. Richards</i>	764
<i>Gordon v. Goertz</i>	765
Note: Mobility Cases since <i>Gordon (Woodhouse and Luckhurst)</i>	765
<i>Ligate v. Richardson</i>	783
D.A. Rollie Thompson, Relocation and Relitigation: After <i>Gordon v. Goertz</i>	798

S.B. Boyd, “Gendering the Best Interests Principle: Custody, Access, and Relocation In a Mobile Society” (optional reading).....	812
J.S. Wallerstein and T.J. Tanke, “To Move or Not to Move: Psychological and Legal Considerations in the Relocation of Children Following Divorce”	846
H.H. Irving and M. Benjamin, “Mobility Rights and Children’s Interests: Empirically-Based First Principles As a Guide to Effective Parenting Plans”	856
M. Bailey and M. Giroux, <i>Relocation of Custodial Parents: Final Report</i> , Policy Research, Status of Women Canada, March 1998	863
<i>For the Sake of the Children: Report of the Special Joint Committee on Child Custody and Access</i> , “Relocation Cases”	869

VIII. ASSESSMENTS

P. Epstein, “Custody and Access”, in <i>Family Law, Bar Admission Course Materials</i> , Law Society of Upper Canada.....	871
Nicholas Bala, “Assessing the Assessor: Legal Issues”	875
Harriet Sachs, “The Dejudicialization of Family Law: Mediation and Assessments”	897
D.L. Chambers, “Rethinking the Substantive Rules for Custody Disputes in Divorce”	903
Jean McBean, “The Myth of Maternal Preference in Child Custody Cases”	903
P.J. Caplan and J. Wilson, “Assessing the Child Custody Assessors”	904
<i>Mantesso v. Mantesso</i>	912
<i>Linton v. Clarke</i>	916
<i>Pellerin v. Pellerin</i>	921

